

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patient and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,436	10/22/2003	Avinash Sodani	42P17406	8405
8791 7590 03/27/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAM	INER
12400 WILSHI	IRE BOULEVARD	BOULEVARD HUISMAN, DAVID J		
	SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	
		•		
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/692,436	SODANI, AVINASH	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
David J. Huisman	2183	

		David J. Huisman		2183	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she	eet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REF	PLY FILED <u>06 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP				
1. ⊠ The this pla∉ a R	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the followers the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	i the same day as filin wing replies: (1) an an itice of Appeal (with a	ng a Notice of nendment, aff ppeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the ater than SIX MONTHS (b). ONLY CHECK BOX	from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
have beer under 37 (set forth ir may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date if filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exCFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the body of the control of the second of the control of the cont	tension and the correspo shortened statutory perion r than three months afte	onding amount od for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
filin a N	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte otice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
(a) (b)	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or se ow);	arch (see NO	TE below);	
, ,	 They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a 	corresponding number			the issues for
_	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	PTOL-324).
6. 🔲 Ne	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a n-allowable claim(s).		in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For how The Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) with the new or amended claims would be rejected is prosestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected:			ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
	im(s) withdrawn from consideration: /IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the applicant failed to provide a showing of good and some some presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the dat d sufficient reasons w	te of filing a N vhy the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
ent sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejection y and was not earlier	is under appe presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′	ls to provide a l).
	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the	claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	it does NOT place the	a analiaatian i	n condition for allower	noo hoooyoo:
11. 📙 []	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu	at does INOT place the	application i	n condidon for allowar	ice because:
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ther:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper N	lo(s)		·

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/692,436	SODANI, AVINASH	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David J. Huisman	2183	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the C	over sneet with the correspondence address
re	The amendment document filed on <u>06 March 2007</u> is considered not equirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment dotem(s) is required.	
Ti	HE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMEN 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	NT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
	 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other 	·
	 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing corre showing amended figures, without markings, in co C. Other). ction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings
	 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the state number by using one of the following status identification (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (With D. The claims of this amendment paper have not bee E. Other: See Continuation Sheet 	pending claims (including withdrawn claims) status identifier, and as such, the individual status us of every claim must be indicated after its claim iers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), hdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in	accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
Fo	For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFF	R 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TI	IME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
1.	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant ame filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-cor entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	
2.	2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is lo correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the followir (including a submission for a request for continued examination amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.10. Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the conon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	ng: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental 3(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle at	
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant ame filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment.	
	Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.
U.S	S. Patent and Trademark Office	Part of Paper No. 20070321

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Applicant has indicated, via underlining, that the language "an interface to couple said processor to said audio" has been added to claim 20. However, this language already existed in a previous version of claim 20 (see the amendment filed on September 20, 2006, for instance). Also, in claim 20, applicant has failed to delete the word "and" after the language "an audio input/output device;" Such a deletion should be shown by strikethrough.

EDDIE CHAN

PERVISORY P